



Missouri Balance of State Agency Name
Continuum Of Care Project Name

| |
|--|
| |
| |

2018 Prioritization Scoring Sheet For New Projects through PH Bonus, or Reallocation Section One

Instructions: This section is designed to evaluate technical sections of the grant and to highlight federal and BoS policies. If any of these standards are not met, the Review Committee reserves the right to request additional information, amend back for revision, or choose not to consider the application in the ranking process.

1. Low Barrier and Housing First for all housing programs

If the agency was able to check off all boxes for "Low Barrier" and "Housing First Approach" box in the project application, and the agency did not provide any information in the project application narratives that is considered to not be Housing First, the standard is met.

Standard

2. Homeless Management Information System approved by the BoS

If the agency currently participates, or has acknowledged and agrees to participate in the HMIS of the BoS CoC or comparable database, if a DV provider, the standard is met.

Standard

3. Religious Requirements and Equal Housing

If the agency acknowledges both requirements, the standard is met.

Standard

4. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing

If in the response, the agency has provided clear strategies that affirmatively further fair housing as detailed in 24 CFR 578.93(c), and ensure that outreach is conducted to homeless individuals and families who are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach, the standard is met.

Standard

5. Notice of Occupancy Rights under the Violence Against Women Act

If the project agrees to follow VAWA regulations, and ensure that the notice is provided, the standard is met.

Standard

6. Program Capacity - Financial Audit

If the agency has provided the most recent completed and Board approved agency fiscal year audit including independent auditor's report. If audit is not from the most recently completed fiscal year, the agency also submitted unaudited financial statements (i.e., balance sheet, income statement, and statement of cash flows). If any deficiencies are noted, the agency provided a statement or memo from the board explaining what steps are being implemented. If all of the agency documents are submitted, and any concerns by the review committee are adequately answered, the standard is met.

Standard

7. Program Capacity - Staff Organizational Structure

- a) Do the services described seem adequate and appropriate?
- b) Is the staffing pattern adequate and appropriate?

If both (A) and (B) are answered sufficiently, the standard is met.

Standard

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Based on the above information, will the project be ranked in the FY 2017 competition? | YES/NO |
|---|---------------|

Additional Review Committee Notes: including which standards were not met and why.

Section Two

Instructions: This section will be reviewing the E-SNAPS application, and additional information by the CoC Lead, HMIS Lead, and through the Application Checklist. This section is to be reviewed for projects that meet the minimum standards listed in Section One.

1. Participation in the Coordinated Entry System

If the agency is currently participating in their regional Coordinated Entry Meetings, add 4 points. If the agency is operating as an Access Point Level I, add 1 point. If the agency is operating as an Access Point Level II or III, add 2 points. If the agency is operating as an Access Point Level IV, add 3 points, for a total of up to 7 points. (Will

7

Sum of Scoring Modifications

7

Section Three (RRH)

Instructions: This section will be reviewing the E-SNAPS application, and additional information by the CoC Lead, HMIS Lead, and through the Application Checklist. Applicants will receive points in only the RRH or PSH section, as determined by the type of project that they are submitting. If no conditions for points are met, add 0 points.

1. Scope

If the agency adequately describes a plan to provide the services in a multiple county service area, then add 5 points.

5

2. Reduce Length of Time

A) Housing Identification

If the agency provides an adequate strategy, but does not reference reducing barriers or recruiting and retention of landlords, add 3 points.

If the agency provides additional information in the plan specifically identifying recruiting and retention of landlords, or reducing barriers but not both, add 7 points.

If the agency provides additional information in the plan specifically referencing recruiting and retention of landlords AND reducing other barriers, add 10 points.

10

B) Rent and Move-In Assistance

If the agency provides an adequate plan to administer rent and move-in assistance, add 5 points.

5

3. Increase Exits of Households to Permanent Housing

If the agency adequately describes how it will provide supportive services to clients to assist in locating housing, and employment/benefits, add 5 points.

If the agency specifically provides information in both the project application and in the narrative regarding emphasizing client choice, add an additional 5 points.

10

4. Limit Returns to Homelessness

If the agency has a plan for follow up after the rental assistance ends, add 5 points.

If the agency has a plan detailing how it can extend the provision of supportive services in addition to follow up, add an additional 5 points.

10

5. Need

If the agency sufficiently describes an adequate need for services in the coverage area, add 3 points.

If the agency provides at least two additional local or state data resources in addition to the PIT Count justifying a clear need for the services in the area, add an additional 7 points.

10

**Total This Section
(RRH)**

50

Section Three (PSH)

Instructions: This section will be reviewing the E-SNAPS application, and additional information by the CoC Lead, HMIS Lead, and through the Application Checklist. Applicants will receive points in only the RRH or PSH section, as determined by the type of project that they are submitting. If no conditions for points are met, add 0 points.

1. Outreach to Chronic Populations

If the agency provides adequate information regarding outreach to Chronic Populations with a clear strategy to engage these populations, add 5 points.

5

2. Housing Stability

If the agency describes adequate supportive services surrounding participants in the PSH, add 5 points.

If the agency specifically provides information in both the project application and in the narrative regarding emphasizing client choice, add an additional 5 points.

10

3. Income - Earned

If the agency adequately describes how the agency will provide or leverage supportive services surrounding employment if the client chooses to increase income, add 10 points.

10

4. Need

If the agency adequately describes a sufficient need for services in the coverage area, add 3 points.

If the agency provides at least two additional local or state data resources in addition to the PIT Count justifying a clear need for the services in the area, add an additional 7 points.

10

**Total This Section
(PSH)**

35

Section Three (Joint TH:RRH Component)

Instructions: This section will be reviewing the E-SNAPS application, and additional information by the CoC Lead, HMIS Lead, and through the Application Checklist. Applicants will receive points in only the TH:RRH section, as determined by the type of project that they are submitting. If no conditions for points are met, add 0 points.

1. Appropriately utilizing TH and RRH Resources

If the agency demonstrates and adequately describes the client-driven strategy for determining enrollment in either the TH or RRH portion.

5

2. Transitional Housing Component

If the agency adequately describes the process they will use to ensure that someone in the TH portion is quickly permanently utilizing client-led processes.

5

3. Reduce Length of Time

A) Housing Identification

If the agency provides an adequate strategy, but does not reference reducing barriers or recruiting and retention of landlords, add 1 points.

If the agency provides additional information in the plan specifically identifying recruiting and retention of landlords, or reducing barriers but not both, award 3 points.

If the agency provides additional information in the plan specifically referencing recruiting and retention of landlords AND reducing other barriers, reward a total of 5 points.

5

B) Rent and Move-In Assistance

If the agency provides an adequate plan to administer rent and move-in assistance, add 5 points.

5

4. Increase Exits of Households to Permanent Housing

If the agency adequately describes how it will provide supportive services to clients to assist in locating housing, and employment/benefits, add 3 points.

If the agency specifically provides information in both the project application and in the narrative regarding emphasizing client choice, add an additional 2 points for a total of 5.

5

5. Limit Returns to Homelessness

If the agency has a plan for follow up after the rental assistance ends, add 3 points.

If the agency has a plan detailing how it can extend the provision of supportive services in addition to follow up, add an additional 2 points.

5

6. Need

If the agency adequately describes a sufficient need for services in the coverage area, add 2 points.

If the agency provides at least two additional local or state data resources in addition to the PIT Count justifying a clear need for the services in the area AND discusses how the project will meet the service gaps in the area, add an additional 3 points.

5

**Total This Section
(TH:RRH)**

35



Scoring Summary for FY 2018 HUD CoC Competition

Agency:

Project Name

Project Type

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |

| Was the Project Ranked? | YES/NO |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Section 2 | 7 |
| Section 3 Points (RRH) | 50 |
| Section 3 Points (PSH) | 35 |
| Section 3 Points (TH:RRH) | 35 |

Ensure that there are only points in one of the section 3 scores. If both have entries, this sheet will not calculate properly.

Total Agency Prioritization Score

Add totals from Section 2 & Section 3

Additional Notes From Review Committee Chair

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

Review Committee Chair Signature

Name: _____

Email: _____