



**Missouri Balance of State
Continuum Of Care**

Agency Name

Project Name

2018 Prioritization Scoring Sheet For Renewal Projects

Section One

Instructions: This section is designed to go over technical sections of the grant, and also to highlight federal policies. The Review Committee will be reviewing information provided by the CoC Lead, HMIS Lead, and through the Application Checklist submitted as a supplement to the ESNAPS application by the agency. If the first condition is not met, add 0 points even if the second condition is met.

- 1. Does the agency currently have any findings from a HUD monitoring review that have been open for a period greater than six months?**

8

If the agency does not have open findings add 8 points.

If the agency has an open finding, but has submitted a plan for corrective action to HUD, add 4 points. If no plan has been submitted, the review committee should discuss reallocation of the project.

- 2. Low Barrier and Housing First for all housing programs**

25

If the agency was able to check off all boxes for low barrier, and project termination, allowing the agency to check the "Housing First Approach" box in the project application add 25 points.

- 3. Participation in the Coordinated Entry System**

7

If the agency is attending CE meetings add 4 points. If the agency is operating as an access level 1, add 1 point. If the agency is operating as a level 2 or 3 access point, add 2 points. If the agency is operating as a level 4 access point, add 3 points.(Will be confirmed with CE Committee and Regions.)

- 4. VAWA Requirements**

10

If the Agency was able to produce a copy of the VAWA rights form, add 5 points

If the Agency was able to produce an agency approved Emergency Transfer Plan, add 5 additional points for a total of 10 points

**Total Points This
Section**

50

Section Two

Instructions: This section will be reviewing performance measures for the competition. Information from HUD field offices, the CoC Lead, HMIS lead, and data submitted via the Annual Performance Review process for the last completed grant term for each project will be utilized to determine this score. If either conditions for points are not met, add 0 points.

5. Amount left from Previous Grant

During the last completed fiscal year, if the agency expended all funds award 10 points. Deduct 2 points for each percentage of funds left up to 5%. If there was greater than 5% or grants funds remaining, 0 points will be awarded.

If the agency had greater than 5% remaining and is willing to volunteer to reallocate a portion of these funds, add 5 points.

10

Performance data scores are generated from the date range that corresponds with the CoC's System Performance Measure submission for the dates October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017.

6. FY 2016 Outcome-Housing

If 90% or more of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving add 10 points

If between 80% and 90% of leavers went to positive destinations, only add 5 points.

10

7. FY 2016 Outcome- Earned Income

If the agency had 10% or greater of adults that increased earned income, add 10 points.

If at least 8% but less than 10% increased earned income, only add 5 points.

10

8. FY 2016 Outcome Other Income Benefits

If the agency had 20% or greater of adults that increased non-employment cash income earned income, add 10 points.

If between 15% and 20% of the participants receiving non-cash benefits, only add 5 points.

10

9. Severity of Needs

The number of points in this section will be graded based on a bell curve.

Programs with the top 25% of scores will receive 10 points. 25-75% will receive 5 points, and the bottom 25% will receive 0 points.

10

10. Cost Per Service

If the cost per PH Outcome of the project is ranked in the top 33% (least expensive) add 5 points. If the project is ranked in the top 66% but not in the top 33% add 3 points.

5

11. Chronic Dedication and Dedicated+

If the program has chosen to dedicate 100% of beds to Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families either through dedication or Dedicated+, add 20 points.

If the project in the previous competition identified that beds would be dedicated or dedicated+, they are not allowed by HUD to decrease the number of beds during this competition

20

12. Housing Stability

If at least 95% of participants during the data range remained in the PH program, or exited to a PH Destination then add 10 points. If 90 to 94% remained housed or exited to permanent desitations, only add 5 points. If 89% or below, add zero points. For PSH, this is calculated for those remaining in PSH and those who exited to permanent destinations. For RRH projects, this is calculated for persons who exited to permanent desitations.

10

13. Returns to Homelessness

If less than 10% of persons exiting the project return to homelessness, add 5 points.

5

Total Points This Section

90

Note on Projects who have not submitted their first APR, or projects utilizing a comparable database:

For the purpose of the FY 2018 competition, projects created in previous competition who have not submitted their first APR will receive 75 points in Section 2, but will still be scored using the other sections. For the FY 2018 competition, the CoC does not have programs that are required to utilize a comparable database. The CoC is working on creating a mechanism that will be made available once programs utilizing a comparable database come up for renewal.



Scoring Summary for FY 2018 HUD CoC Competition

Agency:

Project Name

Project Type

Section 1 Points

50

Section 2 Points

90

Total Agency Prioritization Score

140

Additional Notes From Review Committee Chair

--

Note on Tiebreaker

In the event of a tie, the project with the higher data quality score will be ranked above the other project. In the case of multiple agencies, the projects will be inserted based on the ranking of each project's scores. In the event that multiple projects have the same data quality, utilization rates will also be used to rank projects.

Review Committee Chair Signature _____

Name: _____

Email: _____